



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/895,466	06/29/2001	Robin Budd	EMC-00-066	6561
24227	7590	04/15/2008		
EMC CORPORATION OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL 176 SOUTH STREET HOPKINTON, MA 01748			EXAMINER PARK, IL WOO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2182	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2008 PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/895,466

Applicant(s)

BUDD ET AL.

Examiner

ILWOO PARK

Art Unit

2182

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 January 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5, 6 and 8-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5, 6 and 8-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/C)
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/8/2008 has been entered.
2. Claims 1 and 11 are amended in response to the last office action. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, and 8-16 are presented for examination. Ohran et al and Vinther et al were cited in the last office action

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 1/8/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In the Remarks, Applicant argues that Ohran fails to teach either the determination of the unavailability of the primary network or the use of the alternative path (communication means 2102) to provide network information when the primary network is determined to be unavailable. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Ohran discloses that network information [server requests] from a plurality of applications is received by two servers 110, 121 via a primary network [network 101 in figs. 1 and 2] and is stored and mirrored to storage systems 113, 122 until the unavailability of the primary network between the applications to one of the two servers is detected [steps 310, 302 in fig. 3; col. 7, lines 24-26; col. 7, lines 44-46]. Ohran further teaches that the

alternate path [e.g., connection between server 111 and storage system 122; communication means 2102 in fig. 6] is used [col. 8, lines 14-20; col. 14, lines 22-27] for copying network information when the primary network is unavailable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohran et al. [US 5,812,748] in view of Vinther et al. [WO 92/18931].

As for claim 1, Ohran et al teach in a computer system having a plurality of computers, each connected to a storage system, each of the storage systems in communication via an alternate path [e.g., connection between server 111 and storage system 122; communication means 2102 in fig. 6; link between communication means attachments 2415, 2425, 2435, 2445 in fig. 8], each computer having software capable of sending and receiving network information between said computers via a primary network [network 101 in fig. 1; network 2101 in fig. 5; network 2401, 2402, 2403 in fig. 8], a method for providing continuous availability [col. 1, lines 20-30] of the network information without use of the primary network [col. 9, lines 62-65] between respective ones of the computers comprising the steps of:

receiving [col. 2, lines 12-24] transmission packets containing said network information into an internal thread [col. 4, lines 12-19; col. 11, lines 6-14] of the primary

network and placing the transmission packets into a queue determined by the type of transmission packet;

upon determination [col. 7, lines 24-26; col. 7, lines 44-46] of the unavailability of the primary network and the determination [col. 2, lines 25-29] that the transmission packet is a write packet [col. 13, lines 3-8], copying [col. 7, lines 53-56] the transmission packets into a buffer; and the internal thread writes [col. 8, lines 14-20] the contents of the buffer to the storage system and enables transmission [col. 8, lines 14-20; col. 14, lines 22-27] of the stored write packets via said alternate path, said alternate path being implemented as a virtual network interface process [col. 10, lines 22-24] wherein said stored write packets containing said network information are transmitted in a protocol suitable [e.g., col. 6, lines 33-50] for said alternate path.

However, Ohran et al do not expressly disclose upon filling the buffer to a predetermined point waking the internal thread to process the filled buffer. Vinther et al teach a method for providing continuous availability of the network information without use of the network [e.g., ref. No. 19 in fig. 1] comprising the steps of copying transmission packets into a buffer [page 7, lines 5-14], upon filling the buffer to a predetermined point waking [page 17, lines 28-31] an internal thread to process the filled buffer, and the internal thread writes [page 7, lines 20-23] the contents of the buffer to the storage system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Ohran et al and Vinther et al because they both teach mirroring network transmission packets received, buffered, and finally stored into a storage system and the Vinther et al's

teachings of upon filling the buffer to a predetermined point waking an internal thread to process the filled buffer would increase efficiency in buffering [Vinther et al: page 14, lines 12-18] rather than buffering all data [Ohran et al: col. 7, lines 53-56].

6. As for claim 2, Vinther et al teach prior to the internal thread receiving transmission packets, a client thread submitting the transmission packets into a write buffer [page 7, lines 5-8].

7. As for claim 3, Vinther et al teach calling, by the client thread, a transport data function, wherein the transmission packets are extracted from the buffer [page 7, lines 8-12].

8. As for claim 5, Ohran et al teach configuring the storage system to include a receive volume and a send volume, wherein the contents of the buffer are written to a send volume; copying the contents of the send volume to the receive volume [e.g., col. 3, lines 13-20].

9. As for claim 6, Ohran et al teach the receive volume and the send volume are respectively located on first and second logical volumes of the storage system [e.g., fig. 7].

10. As for claim 8, Ohran et al teach configuring the storage system to include a send volume [e.g., computer system 2110 in fig. 5], configuring a second storage system to include a receive volume [e.g., computer system 2120 in fig. 5], wherein the second storage system is geographically removed from the storage system; writing [col. 8, lines 14-20] the contents of the buffer to the send volume; and copying [col. 8, lines 14-20] the contents of the send volume to the receive volume.

11. As for claim 9, Ohran et al teach returning the internal thread to a sleep state after the contents of the buffer are written to the send volume [col. 4, lines 12-13].
12. As for claim 10, Vinther et al teach copying the contents of the send volume to the receive volume occurs upon a command from one of the plurality of computers [col. 13, lines 3-8].
13. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohran et al. [US 5,812,748] in view of well known in the art.

As for claims 14 and 15, Ohran et al do not explicitly disclose the internet. It is well known in the art that the Internet is an example of one of the ubiquitous networks that would be included as part of the prior art disclosure in order to increase adaptability to the ubiquitous network.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

14. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

15. Claims 11-13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ohran et al. [US 5,812,748].

As for claim 11, Ohran et al teach in a computer system having a plurality of applications [e.g., clients 3700 in fig. 9], in communication with a storage system, each application having a process capable of sending and receiving information [e.g., server requests in col. 2, lines 1-2] regarding said applications over a primary network [e.g.,

network 101 in fig. 1; network 2101 in fig. 5; network 2401, 2402, 2403 in fig. 8] to and from the plurality of applications, a method for providing continuous availability [col. 1, lines 20-30] of the application information comprising the steps of:

recognizing [e.g., "detect a failure of another server's computer" in col. 7, lines 20-29] that the primary network between the applications is unavailable [server requests (read/write operations) via the network 101 to the failed server is unavailable: col. 2, lines 12-37];

in response to the unavailability of the network, writing [col. 8, lines 14-20] the application network information from one of the applications to a first volume;

copying [e.g., col. 8, lines 14-20; col. 12, lines 50-54] the application network information written to the first volume to a second volume system;

reading [e.g., col. 4, lines 15-19; col. 12, lines 58-61; col. 14, lines 50-54] the application network information from the second volume; and

enables transmission [col. 14, lines 22-27] of the application network information via an alternate path [e.g., connection between server 111 and storage system 122; communication means 2102 in fig. 6; link between communication means attachments 2415, 2425, 2435, 2445 in fig. 8] between said respective applications, said alternate path being implemented as a virtual network interface process [col. 10, lines 22-24] wherein said stored write packets containing said network information are transmitted in a protocol suitable [e.g., col. 6, lines 33-50] for said alternate path.

16. As for claim 12, Ohran et al teach reading the network information in less than a predetermined period of time after it is written to the first volume [col. 2, lines 49-60].

17. As for claim 13, Ohran et al teach the plurality of applications performs clustering functions [col. 16, lines 15-17].

18. As for claim 16, Ohran et al teach a second storage system geographically remote from the storage system, wherein the first volume is on the storage system and the second volume is on second storage system [figs. 7-9].

Conclusion

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ilwoo Park whose telephone number is (571) 272-4155. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).